

| Overview of evaluation criteria | |
|---|---|
| | ✓ |
| (1) Structure | |
| Problem-appropriate structure of the term paper | |
| Weighting of each section according to the problem | |
| Structuring and precise formulation of the outline | |
| Reasonable substructuring of the explanations, e.g. by paragraphs | |
| Clarity ("red thread") in the textual elaborations | |
| Other: | |
| (2) Literature | |
| Adequacy of the sources in qualitative terms | |
| Adequacy of the sources in quantitative terms | |
| Currency of literature | |
| Proper citation and faultless bibliography | |
| Other: | |
| (3) Formalia | |
| Self-contained, comprehensible and scientifically correct language and expression | |
| Proper spelling and punctuation | |
| Appealing design of the work | |
| Consistent compliance with general formal requirements, such as pleading | |
| Reasonable addition of graphics and illustrations to the content of the paper | |
| Other: | |
| (4) Review of the state of research | |
| Clarification of essential terms | |
| Comprehensibility of thought processes and chains of argumentation | |
| Quality of the arguments presented | |
| Reference to the topic, avoidance of digressions and redundancies | |
| Other: | |
| (5) Content of own elaboration | |
| Theory-based research question and hypothesis development | |
| Targeted processing and answering research question | |
| If empirical: choice and elaboration of the method | |
| If empirical: correct and adequately documented evaluations | |
| High quality of the work results | |
| Critical examination of the contents and results | |
| Other: | |
| (6) Special performance (only if provided) | |
| Recognition of the work in terms of diligence | |
| Recognition of the work in terms of originality and creativity | |
| Recognition of the work in terms of profundity of reflection | |
| Recognition of the work in terms of autonomy | |
| Other: | |