

Evaluation Form for Presentations				
Name/Group				
Student ID Number				
Course				
Subject				
Examiner				
Grade				
Evaluation Criteria for Presentations				
Category		++	Individual Assessment <sup>1</sup>	
Content		accurate, high quality of argumentation, adequate issue-prioritization, correct use of sources and technical terms, high responsiveness to questions	++ + 0	inaccurate, important issues too short, minor issues too detailed, academic standards are not met, low responsiveness to questions
Structure		coherent and transparent outline, smooth flow of argumentation, tailored to given time frame, good coordination within the group, relation to audience and context	++ + 0	incomprehensible, inept, confusing, exceeding time frame, too little in-group coordination, no relation to either audience or context
Presentation Techniques	Rhetoric	poised, clear articulation, confident, proper volume, steady rate, effective, exciting, interesting	++ + 0	incomprehensible, awkward, insecure, inappropriate, inarticulate, too quiet or too loud, monotonous, too quick, no pauses, hesitant, blackout
	Body Language	attracts everyone's attention, speaks without notes, holds eye contact, friendly, relaxed posture	++ + 0	eye contact missing, insecure, excessive use of notes, reserved, no orientation to audience, stiff, overexpressive, tense
	Visualization	informative charts, clear designations, clearly arranged tables	++ + 0	overloaded charts or no charts at all, tables lack informative value, media use inadequate
Creativity & Criticism		special idea, content presented in a clever form, pointedly illustration of central issue, autonomy, critical perspective	++ + 0	unimaginative, hardly surprising, non-independent, no critical distance from the subject/the student's own assumptions
Overall Impression		audience is reached	++ + 0	audience is not reached

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Scale: ++ completely true; + largely true; 0 partly true; - hardly true; -- not true at all